ಶ್ರೀ ಕಡಿದಾಳ್ ಮಂಜಪ್ಪ.—ಇಲ್ಲ, ಲಂಗರಾಜು ಎಂಬವರು ಎರಡು ಎಕರೆಯಷ್ಟನ್ನು ಅಕ್ರಮವಾಗಿ ಸಾಗುವಳಿ ಮಾಡಿದರು.

ಶ್ರೀ ಬಿ. ರಾಚಯ್ಯ.—ಬೂದಿತಿಟ್ಟು ಗ್ರಾಮಕ್ಕೂ ಆ ಕಾಡಿಗೂ ಬಹಳ ದೂರವಿದೆಯೆಂಬುದು ಸರ್ಕಾರಕ್ಕೆ ಗೊತ್ತಿದೆಯೇ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಕಡಿದಾಳ್ ಮಂಜಪ್ಪ.— ಆ ಕಾಡು ಇರುವುದು ಮದ್ದೂರು ಗ್ರಾಮದಲ್ಲಿ.

ಶ್ರೀಮತಿ ಕೆಂಪಮ್ಮ:— ಹಿಂದೆ ಅವರಿಗೆ ಜಮೀನು ಕೊಟ್ಟಿರಲಿಲ್ಲವೆ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಕಡಿದಾಳ್ ಮಂಜಪ್ಪ.— ಅದು ನಮಗೆ ಗೊತ್ತಿಲ್ಲ. ಈಗ ಅವರು ಎರಡು ಎಕರೆ ಜಮೀನಿನಲ್ಲಿ ಸಾಗುವಳಿ ಮಾಡಿದ್ದಾರೆಂದು ಗೊತ್ತಾಗಿದೆ. ಮತ್ತೆ ಆರು ಎಕರೆಯನ್ನು ಅದೇ ಜಮೀನಿನಲ್ಲಿ ಕೊಟ್ಟಿದೆ ಎಂದು ಸರ್ಕಾರದ ಗಮನಕ್ಕೆ ಬಂದಿದೆ.

REVISION OF PAY SCALES OF NON-GAZETTED OFFICERS AND GAZETTED OFFICERS OF THE STATE.

*Q.—420. Sri M. C. NARASIMHAN (Kolar Gold Fields).

Will the Government be pleased to state.—

(*a*) whether the N.G.Os. of the State have made representations regarding pay scales and other amenities, sometime this year :

(*b*) if so, the action taken by Government in this regard ;

(*c*) the details of cost incurred or proposed to be incurred in respect of the different categories of the said officers (*i.e.*, Class III, Class IV, etc.), for the revising of their pay scales, etc.;

(*d*) whether they propose to revise the pay scales of Officers other than Class III and Class IV and the cost proposed to be incurred thereon ?

A.— Sri T. MARIAPPA (Minister for Finance).—

(*a*) Yes.

(*b*) Government have passed orders and copies of orders have been distributed to the Members of Legislature.

(*c*) About Rs. 3.40 crores in respect of non-Gazetted staff.

(*d*) Scales other than non-Gazetted have been revised taking into account dearness allowance and the cost is about Rs. 10 lakhs.

Sri M. C. NARASIMHAN.—Subsequent to the publication of the Government Order, did Government receive representations, particularly from the N.G.Os. expressing dis-satisfaction over the revision of scales and other emoluments.

Sri T. MARIAPPA.—Some have expressed satisfaction, others have expressed dis-satisfaction.

Sri G. VENKATAI GOWDA.—Is it not a fact that the question of revision of pay structure was before the Government since January 1960 and if so, is it not desirable to give retrospective effect to the G.O. from 1st January 1960 ?

Sri T. MARIAPPA.—The interim relief was given from 1960 and this G.O. takes effect from January 1961.

Sri G. VENKATAI GOWDA.—Since the question of revision of scales of pay has been before the Government since January 1960, my question is whether it is not desirable to give retrospective effect to the G.O. from 1960.

Sri T, MARIAPPA.—Government may not think so. This is a question of practical politics.

Sri M. C. NARASIMHAN.—May I know whether Government had assured or the Finance Minister had assured, the N.G.Os. that the revision of pay scales and other emoluments would not be less favourable than Madras ?

Sri T. MARIAPPA.—No. No such assurance has been given. We have merely stated on more than one occasion that as far as possible we will try to upgrade them to the level of Madras.

Sri M. C. NARASIMHAN.—Is it not true that even after revision many of the officials of Government will be in a more disadvantageous position than before ?

Sri T. MARIAPPA.—No, not in the least.

Sri C. K. RAJAIAH SHETTY.—The expenditure on this account is stated to be 3.40 crores. May I know if this figure was arrived at by adding the D. A. that was being paid prior to the G.O.

Sri T. MARIAPPA.—The total cost would come to 3.40 crores, including Dearness Allowance.

Sri G. VENKATI GOWDA.—Has it come to the notice of Government that the weightage now permitted in the G.O. is illusory because it is highly restrictive and is intended to deprive a large majority of the officials from the operation of the provisions of the G.O. in respect of weightage ?

Sri T. MARIAPPA.—We have not claimed that we are going to give them weightage this time. After all this revision is a supplementary one. It must be read along with the revision of scales announced in 1957.

Sri C. J. MUCKANNAPPA.—Is it not a fact that there is vast difference between the recommendations of the Pay committee and the Government Order ?

Sri T. MARIAPPA.—The Committee's Report is treated as confidential, but I can say definitely that so far as the non-Gazetted officers are concerned, in 99.9 per cent of the cases, there is no difference at all.